

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	į i	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/759,944	<u> </u>	01/16/2004	Chris Angeletti	P00599-US-0 (16169.0075)		
22446	7590	04/03/2006		EXAM		
ICE MILL		QUARE, SUITE 3100	BUI, LUAN KIM			
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46282-0200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/759,944	ANGELETTI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Luan K. Bui	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3728

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

Group I: Claims 1-43 and 47-49, drawn to a combination of a pallet and a reuseable shipping pallet, classified in Class 206, subclass 448 and others.

Group II: Claims 44-45, drawn to a subcombination of a re-useable shipping pallet with foldable posts, classified in Class 220, subclass 6 and others.

Group III: Claim 46, drawn to a subcombination of a re-useable shipping pallet with a telescoping member depending on the size of the windshield, classified in Class 220, subclass 8 and others.

Group IV: Claim 50, drawn to a subcombination of a first lower resilient stop, classified in Class 52, subclass unknown.

Group V: Claims 53-54, drawn to a subcombination of a re-useable shipping pallet with at least one side restraint for engaging at least one windshield, classified in Class 108, subclass unknown.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II, III, IV and V are independent and distinct because there are no relationship between the foldable posts, the telescoping member, the first lower resilient stop and the at least one side restraint for the purpose of restriction since either the re-useable shipping pallet with a telescoping member depending on the size of the windshield, the first lower resilient stop, the re-useable shipping pallet with at least one side restraint for engaging at least one windshield and

Art Unit: 3728

the re-useable shipping pallet with at least one side restraint for engaging at least one windshield can be used by itself. At most, the claims appear to be directed to independent features which may be usable together in a single combination, but the final product as recited in Groups II-V are independent and distinct from each other

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of foldable toward the base and latch and pin mechanism. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used by itself as a gate.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the size of the windshield and for retaining the windshields on the pallet. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used by itself to measure the height of an object or person.

Inventions I and IV are independent and distinct because there are no relationship between the pallet and the first lower resilient stop for the purpose of restriction since either the Art Unit: 3728

pallet and the resilient stop can be used by itself. At most, the claims appear to be directed to

independent features which may be usable together in a single combination, but the final product

as recited in Groups I and IV are independent and distinct from each other

Inventions I and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this

relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

claimed because the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the restraint for

engaging at least one windshield and the restraint engageable with the transverse member by a

rachet and pawl. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used by itself to push an

article other than windshield.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because by their different classification and because they are capable of

separate manufacture, use and sale, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to applicant's representatives on 3/29/06 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,944 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb March 30, 2006 Luan K. Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728